ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M 125162 Client: Alaskan Copper Works

 Date Received:
 05/06/10
 Project:
 Metro Self Monitor, F&BI 005055

 Date Extracted:
 05/07/10
 Lab ID:
 005055-01 x10

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 91 60 125

499

44.5

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Chromium 374
Nickel 378

Copper

Zinc

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank Client: Alaskan Copper Works

Date Received: Not Applicable Project: Metro Self Monitor, F&BI 005055

Date Extracted:05/07/10Lab ID:I0-235 mbDate Analyzed:05/10/10Data File:I0-235 mb.022Matrix:WaterInstrument:ICPMS1Units:ug/L (ppb)Operator:AP

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 93 60 125

<1

Concentration

Analyte: ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1
Nickel <1
Copper <1

Zinc

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 05/11/10 Date Received: 05/06/10

Project: Metro Self Monitor, PO M125162, F&BI 005055

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 005043-01 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Ünits	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	108 b	104 b	67-132	4
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	107	104	73-119	3
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	21.1	112 b	108 b	50-144	4
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	15.0	107 b	103 b	46-148	4

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent					
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance				
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria				
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	92	66-135				
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	106	67-134				
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	109	66-134				
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	107	57-135				

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- $\mbox{\it ca}$ The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- \mbox{ds} The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- $\mbox{d} v$ Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- \boldsymbol{J} The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- ${
 m jl}$ The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- \mbox{nm} The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- \mbox{pr} The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated fulletr an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

005055			S.A	MPLE CHA			OI	Y		K	0	5/	961	10			A	IY						
	Course		oc: \	SAMPLERS	signature)				-						Pa	ge#	1801111	of						
Send Report To Dences Thouses Company Acaskan Coppen Works Address 628 S. Harris &				PROJECT NAME/NO. PO # METTE SOLS Monitor MIZS162							2 =	TURNAROUND TIME Standard (2 Weeks) RUSH S Cell Rush charges authorized by:												
City, State, ZIP Search Phone # 206-571-603	re cur	4 581	34	REMARKS											Disp Retu	ose a	fter 30 o mples	days						
										ANA	LYS	ES R	ΕQ	JEST	ED		Cel							
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	BTEX by 8021B	VOCs by 8260	SVOCs by 8270	HFS	Celunto						Notes						
m 125/62	01	5/4/0	1:00	1700	/							X												
101100100		1000	 	1								-4					1,							
	-		-	_	-	-		\dashv	-		\dashv	\dashv		-				S150-11						
		-		-		_		-			-			_	-1			- 3						
17				93						\Box														
	8																57							
160												\neg												
		-	-			\vdash	-	\dashv		\dashv	-													
<u></u>			ļ	_		_	\dashv	-	_	-1	-	-	*		\dashv	-								
														-										
9.	4	21																						
;1																								
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.		SIGNATU	RE	-	PRINT	NAI	Æ			7		co	MP	NY	لـــا		DATE	TIME						
3012 16th Avenue West	Reliting to the Second			(9)	Gazo Torpe			T	Acal				5	6/0	Z:18pm									
Seattle, WA 98119-	Regieved by	7/0	1 1/	ertlan	1	- {	2~		\top	-	rB.				6-10									
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Relinquished	by:		ri IIan.	ط-				+	- X-19			out.		1	UIU	2:18ph							
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:			14	_				+	-02-00-1		-			+									
EOBNO COCICOC DOC						_		. 5000		丄														

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

May 11, 2010

Gerry Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on May 6, 2010 from the Metro Self Monitor, PO M125162, F&BI 005055 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Kortland Orr Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0511R.DOC